# Maneuver-based Driving for Intervention in Autonomous Cars

Henrik Detjen Stefan Geisler

henrik.detjen@hs-ruhrwest.de stefan.geisler@hs-ruhrwest.de Ruhr West University of Applied Sciences Bottrop, DE **Stefan Schneegass** 

stefan.schneegass@uni-due.de University of Duisburg-Essen Essen, DE

### **ABSTRACT**

The way we communicate with autonomous cars will fundamentally change as soon as manual input is no longer required as back-up for the autonomous system. Maneuver-based driving is a potential way to still allow the user to intervene with the autonomous car to communicate requests such as stopping at the next parking lot. In this work, we highlight different research questions that still need to be explored to gain insights into how such control can be realized in the future.

### **CCS CONCEPTS**

• Human-centered computing → Human computer interaction (HCI).

## **KEYWORDS**

Automotive HMI, Maneuver-Based Driving, Highly Automated Vehicles, Interventions

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

CHI'19 Workshop on "Looking into the Future: Weaving the Threads of Vehicle Automation", May 2019, Glasgow, UK © 2019 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).



Figure 1: Mecedes F 015 Concept Car Interior Design [3] - SAE Level 5

### MANEUVER-BASED DRIVING AND INTERFACE PARADIGM CHANGE

Autonomous cars take over the control from the driver. In SEA Level 5 [7], cars autonomously drive to the dedicated destination and the driver can perform non-driving related tasks. These tasks include reading newspaper, working, or texting [5]. While, in theory, no additional input is possible (i.e., correcting the autonomous car), the passengers might still want provide input from time to time. A reason for this could be, for example, to overtake a truck that blocks the scenic view or to exit the road in order to take a break and get a meal. In contrast to current input, the input necessary for such commands are on a maneuver level [4] not on a fine grained control level (e.g., overtake or stop at the next parking lot instead of changing the driving direction to the left or accelerating manually). Kauer, Schreiber & Bruder [2] define a driver-centered maneuver command set. These kind of interventions require a novel type of in-vehicle control since the classical automotive user interfaces (i.e., steering wheel and pedal) are not designed to enter such complex maneuvers. Given that these maneuvers will only be done from time to time, the classical controls might not be the best fit, because drivers will not be trained as good as they currently are in the future. Thus, we need to investigate novel means of communicating with autonomous cars. In this work, we state different research questions that need to be investigated to allow maneuver-based driving to be a potential way of intervening with autonomous vehicles. We highlight these questions and offer potential design solutions.

### How to communicate available interventions?

Intervention will not be available in all situations. They require a certain environment (e.g., the car can only stop right if there is a parking space) and might also depend on the current speed of driving (e.g., the car needs a certain distance to decrease the speed to turn right). Thus, it is important to communicate what options are available. One easy way of communicating these options is through augmented reality in the windshield [1]. The specific options are highlighted and the driver can chose one them.

### How to enter intervention commands?

Traditionally, buttons and knobs are used as main input. For autonomous cars, the user might be engaged with several different activities and might not even face forward (cf., Figure 1). Thus, different types of input commands are necessary that perhaps not even require physical controls at a certain part of the vehicle. Potential examples for such an input include mid-air gestures or voice commands (e.g., [8] combine speech commands with pointing gestures for selection of a parking lot).

# How to provide feedback to the user?

While the overall goal of the car might be clear to the passenger, communication of the current action of the car still can be of benefit to provide a feeling of control to the user [6]. In addition, a transparent communication of the current vehicle actions increases the drivers trust in the system [9]. However, it is still unclear what information should be communicated and how should they be communicated. When the next maneuver would be an overtaking of the autonomous car, it might be beneficial to highlight this to the user. One example would be a maneuver list that shows the upcoming maneuvers. Further, events that require the driver to intervene might also be communicated to the passenger. For example, the entered destination cannot longer be reached (e.g., through a blocked road) and the autonomous vehicle needs further input on what alternative destination it should approach.

### **CONCLUSION**

Maneuver-based control of autonomous cars is a promising mean of combining the strength of autonomous cars with the needs and requirements of passengers. While the vision of fully autonomous cars might render the driver obsolete, there are still situations in which an input of the driver is required. In this work, we highlight research questions that needs to be tackled in the future to investigate whether maneuver-based driving can ease the challenges of future autonomous systems.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Renate Haeuslschmid, Bastian Pfleging, and Florian Alt. 2016. A design space to support the development of windshield applications for the car. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, 5076–5091.
- [2] Michaela Kauer, Michael Schreiber, and Ralph Bruder. 2010. How to conduct a car? A design example for maneuver based driver-vehicle interaction. In 2010 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium. IEEE, 1214–1221.
- [3] mercedes benz.com. 2019. Der Mercedes-Benz F 015 Luxury in Motion. Retrieved February 26, 2019 from https://www.mercedes-benz.com/de/mercedes-benz/innovation/forschungsfahrzeug-f-015-luxury-in-motion/
- [4] John A Michon. 1985. A critical view of driver behavior models: what do we know, what should we do? In *Human behavior and traffic safety*. Springer, 485–524.
- [5] Bastian Pfleging, Maurice Rang, and Nora Broy. 2016. Investigating user needs for non-driving-related activities during automated driving. In *Proceedings of the 15th international conference on mobile and ubiquitous multimedia*. ACM, 91–99.
- [6] Ben Shneiderman. 2010. Designing the user interface: strategies for effective human-computer interaction. Pearson Education India.
- [7] SAE Taxonomy. 2014. *Definitions for terms related to on-road motor vehicle automated driving systems.* Technical Report. Technical report, SAE International.
- [8] Robert Tscharn, Marc Erich Latoschik, Diana Löffler, and Jörn Hurtienne. 2017. "Stop over there": natural gesture and speech interaction for non-critical spontaneous intervention in autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. ACM, 91–100.
- [9] Guy Walker, Neville Stanton, Paul Salmon, et al. 2016. Trust in vehicle technology. *International journal of vehicle design* 70, 2 (2016), 157–182.